

1 Thomas P. Hanrahan (SBN 110609)
2 thanrahan@sidley.com
3 **SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP**
4 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
5 Los Angeles, California 90013-1010
6 Telephone: (213) 896-6000
7 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

8 Attorneys For Defendant
9 Pfizer, Inc.

10 [other counsel on signature page]

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 **In re BEXTRA AND CELEBREX) Case No. C 05-3710 MHP**
14 MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND)
15 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION) MDL 1699
16 _____)
17 NANCY MILANO, individually and on behalf) Assigned to: Hon. Charles Breyer
18 of all those similarly situated,)
19 Plaintiff,)
20 _____) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
21 vs.)
22 _____) **ORDER TO CONTINUE TIME FOR**
23 PFIZER, INC.,)
24 _____) **FILING RESPONSIVE PLEADING**
25 _____)
26 Defendant.) **TO COMPLAINT OR ANY FURTHER**
27 _____) **PROCEEDING**
28 _____)
29 _____) Date: No hearing required
30 _____) Time: No hearing required
31 _____) Place: No hearing required

32 Plaintiff Nancy Milano and Defendant Pfizer, Inc. stipulate as follows:

33 1. On or about September 6, 2005, the JPML centralized several related actions
34 as **In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No.**
35 1699;

36 2. On or about October 6, 2005, the Honorable Charles Breyer found that Milano
37 v. Pfizer, Inc. was related to **In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products**
38 **Liability Litigation**, and that Milano v. Pfizer, Inc. should be reassigned to him;

39 3. MDL No. 1699 is now pending before this Court;

40 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
41 **ORDER TO CONTINUE TIME FOR FILING RESPONSIVE PLEADING**
42 **TO COMPLAINT OR ANY FURTHER PROCEEDING**

1 4. This Court has not yet held an initial status conference for MDL No. 1699;
2 and

3 5. The parties agree that it would be more efficient for all involved to stay any
4 further proceedings until after the Court has held the initial status conference for MDL No. 1699 and
5 set a briefing schedule for Defendant's response.

6 THEREFORE, the parties STIPULATE and agree that Defendant's responsive
7 pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint should not be due, and that any further proceedings in the above
8 captioned cases should be stayed, until after the Court has held the initial status conference for MDL
9 No. 1699 and set a briefing schedule for Defendant's response.

10

11 Dated: October 11, 2005

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP

12

13

14

By: 

Thomas P. Hanrahan
Attorneys For Defendant
Pfizer, Inc.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: October 13, 2005

COTCHETT, PITRE, SIMON & McCARTHY

By: 

Frank M. Pitre
Attorneys For Plaintiff Nancy Milano

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2005

